



## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/684,143	10/05/2000	Rakesh Bhatia	042390.P5698D	2639
8791	7590 07/26/2002			
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025			EXAMINER	
			ATKINSON, CHRISTOPHER MARK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3743	

DATE MAILED: 07/26/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

 LDDI	ICATION	AR IMPRE		

FORM PTOL 303 (REV. 2/98)

FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

	EXAMINER	
		8
	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	DATE MAILED:	Ö
Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of the COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMAR	his application	AVAILABLE
_/ ADVISORY ACTION		
THE PERIOD FOR REPLY:		
a) U wjll expire months from the date of the final Office action (including	g extensions of time granted).	
b) expires either (1) three months from the mail date of the final Office action, or (2) whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire the final Office action.	on the mail date of this Advisory Action, later than six months from the mail date of	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration de originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above.	and the corresponding amount of the fee	
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).		
Applicant's reply to the final rejection, filed 7/16/02 has been considered to place the application in condition for allowance.	with the following effect, but it is not deeme	ed
1. The proposed amendment to the claim and/or specifications will not be entered and the	e final rejection stands because:	
<ul> <li>a.          There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment earlier presented.     </li> </ul>		
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See	e Note).	
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).	,	
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially for appeal.	y reducing or simplifying the issues	
e.   They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally	rejected eleimo	
NOTE: The proposal amon I relate raise	rejected claims.	
new issues that would require		
further consideration and for search.		
_		
Newly proposed or amended claimwould be allowed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	if submitted in a separately filed	
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment  will be entered will no will be as follows:	ot be entered and the status of the claims	
Claim allowed:		
Claims objected to:		-
Claims rejected: 7-12/17-21 and 27-32		
However;		
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does no	ot overcome the rejection because	
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good presented.	d and sufficent reasons why it was not earl	ier
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the exami		
OtherApplicant may obtain further examination by filing a request for an application under 37		- k
7. The second second second by ming a request for an application under 37	OF 11 1.33(u) (GPA).	A Parameter
	CHRISTOPHED ATTOMA	

Christopher ATKINSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER
Christop Attendor